Filed 05/17/13 Page 1 of 2

USDC SDNY

DOCUMENT

DATE FILED:

ELECTRONICALLY FILED



ANDA SAMBURSKY SLONE SKLARIN VERVENIOTIS LLP

MICHAEL A. MIRANDA +D STEVEN VERVENIOTIS ONDINE SLONE NEIL L. SAMBURSKY* RICHARD S. SKLARIN®

MAURIZIO SAVOIARDO MARK R. OSHEROW O COUNSEL

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL: 516-741-7755

WRITER'S E-MAIL: MMIRANDA@MSSSV.COM

THE ESPOSITO BUILDING 240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD MINEOLA, NY 11501 TEL (516) 741-7676 FAX (516) 741-9060

WWW,M\$SSV,COM

BRANCH OFFICES: WESTCHESTER, NY NEW YORK, NY CLARK, NJ

TODD HELLMAN® NIR M. GADON CRAIG H. HANDLER ROBERT E.B. HEWITT BRIAN S. CONDONO MICHAEL D, NERI ANDREW G. VASSALLE KELLY M. ZIC SHEEL D. PATEL* KYLE M. MITCHELL

P.003/003

⁶⁷ ALSO ADMITTED DI NEW JEESE, 6 ALSO ADMITTED IN CONNECTICLI 10 ALSO ADMITTED IN FLORIDA *RESIDENT IN WESTCHAITES

May 9, 2013

VIA FACSIMILE (212) 805-0426 Honorable Laura Taylor Swain United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Re:

Rie Akashi v. Azix, Inc.

Docket No. 12-CV-9279 (LTS)(RLE)

Our File No. 12-250

Dear Judge Swain:

We represent the plaintiff in the captioned FLSA/retaliation action. The parties have reached a settlement in principle, including the FLSA claims. However, the issue of whether the proposed settlement agreement can require confidentiality remains contested by the parties. As such, the plaintiff requests that the Court decide this issue at its earliest convenience so that the parties can proceed with execution of the proposed settlement agreement.

Defendants have requested that this matter be treated as a private settlement such that the Court would so order a Rule 41 stipulation of dismissal. They have contended that this would allow confidentiality. Plaintiff's position is that the case law in this District mandates that FLSA settlements be public, and expressly forbids confidentiality. Wolinsky v. Scholastic, 2012 WL2700381 (July 5, 2012, S.D.N.Y. Judge Furman); see also Chapman-Green v. Icahn House West, 2013 WL474352 (January 24, 2013 S.D.N.Y. Magistrate Dolinger).

¹ Unfortunately, the parties' counsel could not agree on the exact verbiage of this letter, and thus, while it is believed that the defendants' counsel would also want Your Honor to expeditiously decide this issue, plaintiff can not formally speak for them at this time.

MIRANDA SAMBURSKY SLONE SKLARIN VERVENIOTIS LLP

Honorable Laura Taylor Swain May 9, 2013 Page 2 of 2

The defendants rely upon <u>Picerni v. Bilingual</u>, 2013 WL646649 (February 22, 2013 E.D.N.Y. Judge Cogan). That Judge did allow confidentiality where the case had been settled on the basis of an Offer of Judgment.

The plaintiff's objection to the private settlement concept stems solely from the Southern District case law's prohibition. Even after the case law was pointed out to the defendants, they have continued to insist that the settlement be confidential. As such, this issue is ripe for judicial determination.

Thank you for your attention to this issue.

Respectfully submitted,

MIRANDA SAMBURSKY SLONE SKLARIN VERVENIOTIS LLP

Michael A. Miranda

cc: Kimberly Klein, Esq. (Via Facsimile)